

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,310 12/28/2001		12/28/2001	Giuseppe Marchionni	108910-00047 2890		
4372	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.				MERCADQ,	MERCADO, JULIAN A	
SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036				1745		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/028,310	MARCHIONNI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Julian Mercado	1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)⊠							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) <u>5 and 20</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents The priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents.	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive	on No				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. In claim 5 at line 5, "propylencarbonate" requires changing to --propylene carbonate--.
- b. Claim 20 refers back to claim 1 and claim 8 in the preamble, but does not state if this dependency is to be interpreted together, i.e. by use of the function word "and", or if this dependency is to be interpreted in the alternative, i.e. by use of "or". It is suggested to amend claim 20 in accordance with either an "and" or "or" clause.
- c. In claim 20 at line 3, "range" requires changing to --range of--.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Remarks

This Office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed July 16, 2004. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 101 for being an improper definition of a process has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Marchionni et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,403,539 B1) has been obviated. The examiner notes applicant's amendment to claim 17 which, by virtue of its dependency from claim 19, is now limited to a Li⁺ cation. Machionni et al. was relied upon in the prior Office action to read on the instant formula (I) to the extent that the ionic form of M is Na⁺.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 8-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Marchionni et al. and Mussell et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,882,810) has been obviated.

Applicant has provided evidence in this file showing that the invention was owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to, the same entity as U.S. Pat. 5,882,810 at the time this invention was made. Accordingly, U.S. Pat. 5,882,810 is disqualified as prior art through 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) in any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in this application.

Double Patenting and Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on October 4 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Pat. 6,403,539 B1 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1, 2 and 8-17 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based on U.S. Pat. 6,403,539 B1 to Marchionni et al. and in view of Mussell et al. has been obviated.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,310

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-21 are allowed. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the instant invention regarding a perfluoropolyether additive of the instant formula (I).

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the matters set forth above under the "Claim Objections" section.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 1745

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Fatrick Ryan SPE-AUDER